



IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IFW/2CE5

PATENT APPLICATION

Mark J Schnitzer

Case Name/No. Schnitzer 5  
Serial No: 10/082870  
Filing Date: February 25, 2002  
Group Art Unit: 3737  
Examiner: William C. Jung

MAIL STOP RCE  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) UNDER 37 CFR § 1.114

SIR:

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114 of application Serial No. 10/082870 filed on February 25, 2002 entitled Multi-Photon Endoscopy

**NOTE:** 37 CFR § 1.114 is effective on May 29, 2000. If the above-identified application was filed prior to May 29, 2000, applicant may wish to consider filing a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR § 1.53(d) instead of an RCE to be eligible for the patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA. See Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, Final Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 50092 (Aug. 16, 2000); Interim Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865 (Mar. 20, 2000), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47 (Apr. 11, 2000), which established RCE practice.

1. SUBMISSION REQUIRED UNDER 37 CFR § 1.114

- a. ☐ Previously Submitted
- i. ☐ Consider the amendment/reply under 37 CFR § 1.116 previously filed on \_\_\_\_\_  
(Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered.)
- ii. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on \_\_\_\_\_
- iii. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_
- b. ☒ Enclosed
- i. ☒ Amendment/Reply
- ii. ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)
- iii. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)
- iv. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

04/22/2005 HANDED 00000046 112325 10082870  
01 FC:1001 750.00 DA

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| Date of Receipt  | April 18, 2005 |
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in a First Class Mail envelope on the date indicated above and is addressed to: |                |
| Mail Stop  | RCE            |
| Commissioner for Patents   |                |
| P.O. Box 1450  |                |
| Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  |                |
| Catherine F. Dugan   |                |
| Signature of person filing correspondence  |                |

**2. MISCELLANEOUS**

a. ☐ Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR § 1.103(c) for a period of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s).  
*(Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months. Fee under 37 CFR § 1.17(i) required.)*

b. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**3. FEES**

a. ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees to **Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325**.

i. ☒ RCE fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17(e) - **\$790.00**

ii. ☐ Other - \_\_\_\_\_

In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error. Duplicate copies of this letter are enclosed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136 for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time if not submitted with the reply.

Please address all correspondence to **Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219), Lucent Technologies, Inc., 101 Crawfords Corner Road, Holmdel, New Jersey 07733-3030**. However, telephone calls should be made to me at **908-582-6866**.

Respectfully,



**John F. McCabe**  
 Attorney for Applicant(s)  
 Reg. No.: 42854

Date: April 18, 2005

**Lucent Technologies Inc.**  
**101 Crawfords Corner Road**  
**Room 3J-219**  
**Holmdel, New Jersey 07733-3030**



1

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventors: Mark J. Schnitzer

Case No.: 5

Serial No.: 10/082,870

Group Art Unit: 3737

Filing Date: Feb. 25, 2002

Examiner: William C. Jung

Title: MULTI-PHOTON ENDOSCOPY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.114, 1.121**

This response is a reply to the Office Action mailed February 7, 2005.

**a.     Introductory Comments**

The Office Action rejects claims 1 – 21.

Herein, Applicants amend claims 1 – 4, 7 – 8, 12 – 13, and 16.

Applicants request reconsideration.